

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY BOARD
Minutes, April 15, 2002 Meeting

Present: Nellen, McNeil, Freeman, Kauppila, Breivik, Heisch, Wu, Woolls, Loomba, Whitlatch (guest)

1. Minutes were approved as written (thank you, Carolyn Nelson!). The agenda was approved as modified.
2. Whitlatch described OCLC's "ILLiad" online ILL request system. Over fifty institutions, including SLO, already use this system. ILLiad will be linked along with our online journals. SFX will be demonstrated to faculty users after the April 22 Senate meeting. Heisch noted that many faculty know little about how the Library is changing to serve serious faculty needs, both for research and student assignments.
3. Breivik reported on focus groups concerning how the new MLK Library can support the K-12 community.
4. We agreed that faculty award plaques should be placed, with other appropriate student, alumni, and other awards, in the new MLK Library, as good public relations. We discussed other possibilities for events and other information, perhaps including City events as well.
5. Breivik suggested that the many boxes of County records in Special Collections should be given back to be kept in the same place as the other County records, in the San Pedro Plaza "History San Jose" facility.
6. A corrected draft Computer Use Policy was distributed implementing the basic policy of our ISP (4Cnet) with general guidelines ("policy" and "procedures") for use of Library workstations. We approved these after slight changes (and discussion of implementation issues to be resolved later).
7. SDSU's academic senate has recommended a student library use fee (10/01 summary distributed), based on a statistical study of that library's needs. We will be generating similar background information, but there are complex political ramifications. We began discussing the pros and cons of recommending such fees. There is a long and contentious history around student fees in the state.
8. New programs and initiatives are generally approved without consideration of costs of the library or a need for greater resources for the library. A draft text for possible senate endorsement was distributed and discussed (urging a "collaborative planning process"). The point is to raise the need for library enhancements when new programs are proposed, rather than simply taking the library for granted. We will email editorial suggestions.

9. Our next meeting, April 29, will be at the home of Patricia Breivik (directions to be emailed).

10. Adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

David McNeil, Professor and Chair, Department of History