

ULB Minutes
November 4, 2002 WSQ 105
2:00-4:00 pm

Present (13): Steve Branz (chair), Blanche Woolls, Ji-Mei Chang, Patricia Breivik, Paul Kauppila, Diana Wu, John Pollock (for Allison Heisch), David Parent, Mike Gorman, Arvinder Loomba, Bernice Redfern, Shirley Reekie, Andy Wood.

Absent (1): Annette Nellen

Guest (1): JoBell Whitlatch

1. October 21, 2002 minutes approved.
2. Announcements:
 - a. Recent academic senate comments reflected positively on the work of ULB.
 - b. Steve Branz announced that the pumpkin bread was provided courtesy of his wife, Emily. Steve picked out the pumpkins, but Emily baked the bread. Thank you, Emily.
3. Policy Recommendation: an update was provided regarding Library Resources for New Academic Programs, specifically
 - a. Possible joint sponsorship with Curriculum and Research Committee
 - b. Final version of the policy was circulated which had been approved at Oct 21 meeting
4. Policy Recommendation: there was extensive discussion regarding Five-Year Program Planning for the Library (the revised draft was provided for discussion purposes). There was consideration as to whether there should be a review process. Some argued that such a review might serve both external (i.e. university-wide) as well as internal (library administrative and ULB) functions. Steve Branz moved the resolution and Dave Parent seconded. The vote was 12-1-0.
5. SJSU Library Budget Study discussion was continued item from Oct 21 meeting. There had been previously distributed a more complete version of the PowerPoint version in the ULB binder, tab 8, section 5. The bottom line was that library funding has not kept up with the needs and demands of the times. Jo Bell Whitlock reviewed several of the power point slides and considerable discussion ensued. The data documented the relative decline both in historical terms and in comparison with other CSU campuses. Dean Breivik requested that ULB members review the data with an eye towards providing the most compelling information, specifically what 3 comparisons would provide the clearest indication of this decline? With respect to the latter there was discussion as to which CSU campuses made the most sense with which to compare SJSU. Jo said she would investigate this question. Finally, the group considered to whom the eventual presentation should be made, e.g. the senate ?

6. There was a brief discussion include about the 24-hour study and/or computer area.
7. Future items for ULB consideration :
 - a. Information Competency component of new Student Success Center (Clark)
 - b. Review collection evaluation provisions of University Policy F98-5
8. Remaining ULB meetings for AY 2002/03:
 - a. Fall 02: Nov 18, Dec 2
 - b. Spring 03: Feb 3, 24, Mar 10, Apr 7, 28